

# Projection-Specific Modulation of Dopamine Neuron Synapses by Aversive and Rewarding Stimuli

Stephan Lammel,<sup>1</sup> Daniela I. Ion,<sup>1,3</sup> Jochen Roeper,<sup>2</sup> and Robert C. Malenka<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nancy Pritzker Laboratory, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University School of Medicine, Lokey Stem Cell Research Building, 265 Campus Drive, Room G1021, Stanford CA 94305-5453, USA

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Neurophysiology, Neuroscience Center, Goethe University, 60528 Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Present address: School of Clinical Dentistry, Charles Clifford Dental Hospital, 76 Wellesley Road, Sheffield S10 2SZ, UK

\*Correspondence: malenka@stanford.edu

DOI 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.025

## SUMMARY

Midbrain dopamine (DA) neurons are not homogeneous but differ in their molecular properties and responses to external stimuli. We examined whether the modulation of excitatory synapses on DA neurons by rewarding or aversive stimuli depends on the brain area to which these DA neurons project. We identified DA neuron subpopulations in slices after injection of “Retrobeads” into single target areas of adult mice and found differences in basal synaptic properties. Administration of cocaine selectively modified excitatory synapses on DA cells projecting to nucleus accumbens (NAc) medial shell while an aversive stimulus selectively modified synapses on DA cells projecting to medial prefrontal cortex. In contrast, synapses on DA neurons projecting to NAc lateral shell were modified by both rewarding and aversive stimuli, which presumably reflects saliency. These results suggest that the mesocorticolimbic DA system may be comprised of three anatomically distinct circuits, each modified by distinct aspects of motivationally relevant stimuli.

## INTRODUCTION

It is well accepted that midbrain dopamine (DA) neurons and their target structures are critically involved in the neural circuit modifications that underlie a variety of adaptive and pathological behaviors including the development and maintenance of addiction (Wise, 2004; Kalivas and Volkow, 2005; Everitt and Robbins, 2005; Hyman et al., 2006; Schultz, 2007; Wolf, 2010). Until fairly recently, midbrain DA neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and substantia nigra (SN) were thought to be homogeneous in their properties and behavioral functions. In particular, it has been demonstrated that they express characteristic phasic excitatory responses to rewards and cues that predict rewards while being inhibited by omission of rewards (Schultz, 1998). These findings led to the influential hypothesis that phasic DA cell activity encodes a reward prediction error, which is critical for reinforcement-dependent learning (Schultz, 1998, 2007, 2010; D’Ardenne et al., 2008; Dayan and Niv, 2008). In contrast, studies that moni-

tored behaviorally relevant *in vivo* dopamine release often found target selectivity such that, for example, unconditioned “rewarding” stimuli caused DA release primarily in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) medial shell, but not in other regions of the ventral or dorsal striatum (Bassareo et al., 2002; Stuber et al., 2005; Di Chiara and Bassareo, 2007; Goto et al., 2007; Aragona et al., 2008). Furthermore, “aversive” stimuli can cause DA release in a target-specific manner (Abercrombie et al., 1989; Bassareo et al., 2002; Young, 2004). Indeed, a number of *in vivo* studies in both rodents and primates demonstrated a diversity of firing patterns exhibited by DA cells in response to behaviorally relevant stimuli (Ungless et al., 2010; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010). In rodents, for example, some VTA DA neurons are phasically excited by aversive stimuli (Mantz et al., 1989; Brischoux et al., 2009). In nonhuman primates, DA neurons in the VTA and dorsolateral substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) also can encode aversive events and cues predicting such events as well as other features of stimuli including their motivational saliency (Matsumoto and Hikosaka, 2009; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010). These findings have led to the proposal that DA neurons play a variety of critical roles in motivational control in addition to their importance for encoding reward prediction errors (Berridge et al., 2009; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010; Ungless et al., 2010).

Consistent with the view that midbrain DA cells are not homogeneous are recent findings that the specific molecular and physiological properties of midbrain DA cells are associated with the target structures to which they project (Lammel et al., 2008; Margolis et al., 2008). A subgroup of “unconventional” DA neurons with high-frequency firing (>10 Hz) and low DA reuptake capacity (i.e., low dopamine transporter [DAT]/tyrosine hydroxylase [TH] expression ratio) is located in the medial posterior VTA and projects to the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), NAc core or NAc medial shell (Lammel et al., 2008). In contrast, “conventional” DA neurons with low-frequency firing (<10 Hz) are located in the lateral VTA and SNc and project to NAc lateral shell and dorsal striatum, respectively (Lammel et al., 2008). These findings raise the important question of whether the *in vivo* synaptic modulation and functional responses of DA cells to different stimuli may be associated with the distinct anatomical target sites to which they project.


Addressing this question is challenging because it requires unequivocal identification of the specific target area to which an identified DA cell projects. To begin to address this issue, we took advantage of the increase in excitatory synaptic strength on VTA DA neurons caused by passive administration or

self-administration of drugs of abuse (Ungless et al., 2001; Saal et al., 2003; Borgland et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2004; Faleiro et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005; Bellone and Lüscher, 2006; Argilli et al., 2008; Engblom et al., 2008; Stuber et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2008; Heikkinen et al., 2009). Specifically, we visually identified and recorded from subpopulations of VTA and SNc DA neurons projecting to different target structures in acute midbrain slices by injecting fluorescent Retrobeads into the mPFC, the NAc medial shell, the NAc lateral shell, or the dorsolateral striatum of 3-month-old adult C57BL/6 mice (Lammel et al., 2008). We predicted that the excitatory synapses on distinct DA subpopulations would be differently modulated by a rewarding stimulus, specifically the administration of cocaine. We also examined whether an aversive stimulus affected these same sets of synapses in a similar manner. Our results suggest that the long-lasting modulation of synapses on DA cells caused in vivo by rewarding and aversive stimuli is not uniform but rather differs dramatically depending on the respective target structures to which DA neurons project.

## RESULTS

### Retrogradely Labeled Neurons in the Posterior VTA and SN Are Predominantly Dopaminergic


Most previous in vitro electrophysiological studies of midbrain DA neurons appear to have targeted DA neurons in the anterior lateral VTA, predominantly the parabrachial pigmented nucleus (PBP) (Brischoux et al., 2009; Ungless et al., 2010). In addition, putative DA cells were commonly identified by the presence of a large hyperpolarization-activated current (I<sub>h</sub>) while cells that lacked this current were considered nondopaminergic (Ungless et al., 2001; Gutlerner et al., 2002; Saal et al., 2003; Borgland et al., 2004; Faleiro et al., 2004; Bellone and Lüscher, 2006; Margolis et al., 2006; Hommel et al., 2006; Argilli et al., 2008; Stuber et al., 2008; Zweifel et al., 2008) even though this criterion does not unequivocally identify DA neurons (Johnson and North, 1992; Ford et al., 2006; Margolis et al., 2006, 2008; Zhang et al., 2010a). Therefore, one major goal of this study was to identify and record from DA cell subpopulations that have largely been neglected. By using in vivo Retrobead injections to identify the projection target of individual DA neurons, we first determined the percentage of retrogradely labeled neurons in the posterior VTA that are dopaminergic as defined by immunoreactivity for TH. Injections were made in the mPFC, NAc medial shell, and NAc lateral shell to label VTA DA neurons as well as the dorsolateral striatum for labeling of nigrostriatal DA cells (Figure 1A). In agreement with previous results (Lammel et al., 2008) we found that retrogradely labeled neurons that project to the mPFC and medial shell of the NAc are mainly located in the medial posterior VTA, medial par nigral nucleus and adjacent medial aspects of the PBP nucleus (Figure 1B). In contrast, neurons that project to the lateral shell of the NAc were located in the lateral VTA, mainly in the lateral PBP nucleus. Nigrostriatal neurons were almost exclusively found in the SNc. Approximately 80%–95% of the retrogradely labeled cells in the posterior VTA and SN also were immunopositive for TH indicating that they were dopaminergic (Figure 1C, n = 49–140 cells for each group).



**Figure 1. Retrograde Labeling of Midbrain DA Neurons**

(A) Injection sites (arrows) in green Nissl (488 nm)-counterstained sections (100 μm) showing typical locations of Retrobeads (546 nm, yellow). From top to bottom: mPFC (bregma +1.70 mm), NAc medial shell (bregma +1.10 mm), NAc lateral shell (bregma +0.74 mm), dorsolateral striatum (bregma +0.98 mm). Scale bars = 500 μm. (B) Confocal images showing the anatomical distribution of retrogradely transported Retrobeads (white) in the posterior VTA and SN after TH-immunohistochemistry (blue) at low magnification (10 ×, left panels) and high magnification (63 ×, right panels). Note that cells projecting to the mPFC are located in medial aspects of the posterior VTA and cells projecting to the NAc medial shell are located in the ventromedial areas of the posterior VTA. In contrast, neurons projecting to the NAc lateral shell are located in the dorsolateral region of the posterior VTA and cells projecting to the dorsolateral striatum are completely located in the posterior SN. Scale bars = 200 μm (left panel) and 20 μm (right panel). (C) Pie charts showing the relative number of retrogradely labeled TH-immunopositive and TH-immunonegative cells that were located in the posterior VTA or posterior SN (bregma −3.80 to −3.28 mm). Mesocortical, n = 49 cells; mesolimbic medial shell, n = 101 cells; mesolimbic lateral shell, n = 107 cells; nigrostriatal, n = 140 cells). (D) Representative current traces in response to a voltage step from −40 to −120 mV. Measurements of IRK + leak currents and I<sub>h</sub> are indicated in the mesolimbic lateral shell trace. (E) Magnitude of I<sub>h</sub> for each cell population. Numbers of cells are indicated (\*p < 0.05). (F) Magnitude of IRK + leak currents for each cell population with numbers of cells indicated (\*p < 0.05). Bar graphs in E and F represent means ± SEM.

Recordings from retrogradely labeled neurons revealed significant differences in the magnitude of I<sub>h</sub> depending on the neurons' projection targets. Cells projecting to the mPFC or NAc medial shell exhibited an I<sub>h</sub> that was dramatically smaller than those recorded from neurons projecting to the



**Figure 2. Excitatory Synapses on DA Neuron Subpopulations Have Distinct Properties**

(A) Sample AMPAR- and NMDAR EPSCs at +40 mV from different subpopulations of DA neurons. (B) AMPAR/NMDAR ratios at +40 mV (left panel) and at –70 mV/+40 mV (right panel) in the different DA neuron subpopulations. Numbers of cells are indicated (\* $p < 0.05$ ). Bar graphs represent means  $\pm$  SEM.

NAc lateral shell or dorsal striatum (Figures 1D and 1E, mesocortical neurons:  $24.2 \pm 9.4$  pA,  $n = 8$ ; mesolimbic medial shell neurons:  $10.7 \pm 0.9$  pA,  $n = 8$ ; mesolimbic lateral shell neurons:  $183.4 \pm 24.3$  pA,  $n = 15$ ; nigrostriatal neurons:  $164.8 \pm 32.9$  pA,  $n = 6$ ). Time-independent inward leak currents mediated by background conductances were smaller only in the cells projecting to NAc medial shell (Figures 1D and 1F, mesocortical neurons:  $158.7 \pm 41.6$  pA,  $n = 8$ ; mesolimbic medial shell neurons:  $63.8 \pm 19.1$  pA,  $n = 8$ ; mesolimbic lateral shell neurons:  $255.7 \pm 42.0$  pA,  $n = 15$ ; nigrostriatal neurons:  $182.7 \pm 43.8$  pA,  $n = 6$ ). All of the recorded neurons in Figures 1D–1F were filled with 0.1% neurobiotin and were confirmed to be TH-positive by immunocytochemistry (Figure S1, available online). Together, these results demonstrate that on average, more than 80% of retrogradely labeled cells in the posterior VTA are dopaminergic independent of their projection targets. Furthermore, because DA neurons projecting to the mPFC and medial shell of the NAc are primarily located in the medial posterior VTA and lack a prominent Ih, it is likely that these neurons have been neglected in most previous in vitro studies.


### Differences in Basal Properties of Excitatory Synapses on DA Neuron Subpopulations

We next examined the basal properties of excitatory synapses on the different DA neuron subpopulations in adult (3 months old) C57Bl/6 mice. Because quantitative estimates of basal evoked synaptic strength are very difficult to obtain in slice preparations in which the magnitude of the activated afferent input cannot be measured, we calculated the ratio of AMPA receptor (AMPA)-mediated to NMDA receptor (NMDAR)-mediated excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs), a commonly used measure of basal synaptic properties (Kauer and Malenka, 2007). When measured at +40 mV, the AMPAR/NMDAR ratios in cells that express a large Ih and project to the NAc lateral shell and dorsal striatum were similar to those reported in previous studies where the presence of an Ih was used to identify DA neurons (Figures 2A and 2B, mesolimbic lateral shell neurons:  $0.37 \pm 0.03$ ,  $n = 10$ ; nigrostriatal neurons:  $0.42 \pm 0.07$ ,  $n = 9$ ) (Ungless et al., 2001; Saal et al., 2003; Dong et al., 2004; Faleiro et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005; Bellone and Lüscher, 2006; Argilli et al., 2008; Engblom et al., 2008; Stuber et al., 2008; Heikkinen et al., 2009). In contrast, the AMPAR/NMDAR

ratios at +40 mV in cells that possess a small Ih and project to mPFC or NAc medial shell were, on average, significantly higher (Figures 2A and 2B, mesocortical neurons:  $0.61 \pm 0.04$ ,  $n = 10$ ; mesolimbic medial shell neurons:  $0.60 \pm 0.03$ ,  $n = 8$ ). Because the presence of inwardly rectifying, GluA2-lacking AMPARs can influence the AMPAR/NMDAR ratios when measured at +40 mV (Isaac et al., 2007), we also calculated the AMPAR/NMDAR ratios based on recording AMPAR EPSCs at –70 mV and NMDAR EPSCs at +40 mV. Again, the ratios were significantly higher in cells projecting to the mPFC or NAc medial shell (Figure 2B, right panel, mesolimbic lateral shell neurons:  $2.11 \pm 0.19$ ,  $n = 9$ ; nigrostriatal neurons:  $1.63 \pm 0.26$ ,  $n = 8$ ; mesocortical neurons:  $3.26 \pm 0.55$ ,  $n = 8$ ; mesolimbic medial shell neurons:  $3.34 \pm 0.23$ ,  $n = 7$ ). We also examined the weighted decay time constant ( $\tau_w$ ) of the NMDAR EPSCs recorded at +40 mV and found that it was larger in nigrostriatal neurons when compared to the other neuronal subpopulations although this difference reached statistical significance only when compared to the decay time constant of neurons projecting to mPFC (Figure S2A, mesolimbic lateral shell neurons:  $75.0 \pm 19.4$  ms,  $n = 10$ ; nigrostriatal neurons:  $138.5 \pm 16.5$  ms,  $n = 9$ ; mesocortical neurons:  $52.5 \pm 10.0$  ms,  $n = 10$ ; mesolimbic medial shell neurons:  $88.5 \pm 17.2$  ms,  $n = 8$ ). Finally, we measured paired-pulse ratios at 50 ms and 100 ms interstimulus intervals (Figure S2B) but found no differences between the subpopulations of neurons in this estimate of the average probability of transmitter release. The larger AMPAR/NMDAR ratios in mesocortical and mesolimbic medial shell neurons are consistent with our suggestion that these neurons have not previously been studied and suggest that the basal properties of their excitatory synapses are different from synapses on mesolimbic lateral shell neurons and nigrostriatal neurons.

### Differences in Cocaine-Induced Synaptic Plasticity in DA Neuron Subpopulations

Given that some of the basic properties of DA neurons differ depending on the brain regions to which they project, a critical question is whether these neuronal subpopulations are all modulated in the same manner by a “rewarding” experience. To address this issue, we took advantage of the well-established modification of excitatory synapses on VTA DA neurons caused by in vivo administration of drugs of abuse, an increase in the



**Figure 3. Cocaine Administration Increases AMPAR/NMDAR Ratios Only in DA Cells Projecting to NAc**

(A–D) Sample AMPAR and NMDAR EPSCs (left panels) and magnitude of AMPAR/NMDAR ratios (right panels) in different DA neuron subpopulations in animals that received saline or cocaine injections 24 hr prior to slice preparation. Numbers of cells are indicated (\* $p < 0.05$ ). Note that DA cells projecting to NAc lateral shell (A) and NAc medial shell (D) showed large increases in AMPAR/NMDAR ratios but nigrostriatal cells (B) and cells projecting to mPFC (C) did not. The control cells in C are the same as those shown in Figure 2B. (E) Sample AMPAR and NMDAR EPSCs recorded from DA cells projecting to NAc medial shell 10 or 21 days after cocaine administration. (F) Magnitude of AMPAR/NMDAR ratios at these time points compared to saline injected animals. Numbers of cells are indicated (\* $p < 0.05$ ). Bar graphs in A–F represent means  $\pm$  SEM.


AMPA/NMDAR ratio (Ungless et al., 2001; Saal et al., 2003; Borgland et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2004; Faleiro et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2005; Bellone and Lüscher, 2006; Argilli et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2008; Engblom et al., 2008; Heikkinen et al., 2009). Twenty-four hours prior to slice preparation, cocaine (15 mg/kg, ip) or, in most experiments, saline (0.9%, ip, volume matched for experimental injections) was administered to animals that 1–3 weeks previously had been injected with Retrobeads. Consistent with previous results, neurons projecting to NAc lateral shell and which express a large Ih exhibited a clear increase in their AMPAR/NMDAR ratios after cocaine administration (Figure 3A: saline,  $0.33 \pm 0.06$ ,  $n = 7$ ; cocaine,  $0.61 \pm 0.05$ ,  $n = 13$ ;  $p = 0.003$ ). Surprisingly, however, cocaine did not significantly increase AMPAR/NMDAR ratios in either nigrostriatal cells (Figure 3B, saline:  $0.34 \pm 0.02$ ,  $n = 6$ ; cocaine:  $0.48 \pm 0.06$ ,  $n = 14$ ;  $p = 0.169$ ) or in VTA cells projecting to mPFC (Figure 3C, control:  $0.61 \pm 0.04$ ,  $n = 10$ ; cocaine:  $0.59 \pm 0.07$ ,  $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.765$ ). In contrast, even though the basal AMPAR/NMDAR ratios were high, a large increase occurred in VTA DA neurons projecting to NAc medial shell (Figure 3D, saline:  $0.60 \pm 0.07$ ,  $n = 5$ ; cocaine:  $1.1 \pm 0.08$ ,  $n = 9$ ;  $p = 0.002$ ). Cocaine administration did not affect the paired-pulse ratios in any DA neuron subpopulations (data not shown). These results demonstrate that one prominent form of synaptic plasticity in midbrain DA neurons elicited by a rewarding experience is associated with the brain area to which the DA neuron projects. Furthermore, the increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio elicited by cocaine does not require a low basal value and is not restricted to neurons with a large Ih.

In VTA neurons with a large Ih, the increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio elicited by noncontingent administration of

3 months (Chen et al., 2008). These findings raise the question of whether the large cocaine-elicited increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio in DA neurons projecting to NAc medial shell (Figure 3D), cells that have not been studied previously, is long lasting or not. We first prepared slices 10 days after a dose of cocaine and found that the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio was still increased (Figures 3E and 3F, saline:  $0.60 \pm 0.07$ ,  $n = 5$ ; after 10 days,  $0.96 \pm 0.09$ ,  $n = 9$ ;  $p = 0.018$ ). Surprisingly, the ratio remained increased even 21 days after cocaine administration (Figures 3E and 3F, after 21 days,  $0.91 \pm 0.12$ ,  $n = 4$ ;  $p = 0.047$ ). We also examined whether the lack of increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio in mesocortical and nigrostriatal DA neurons after a dose of cocaine could be overcome by using a chronic administration protocol. However, daily administration of cocaine for 5 days had no effect in either of these DA cell types (Figure S3, mesocortical, 5 days of cocaine:  $0.70 \pm 0.14$ ,  $n = 5$ ; 5 days of saline:  $0.58 \pm 0.06$ ,  $n = 3$ ;  $p = 0.467$ ; nigrostriatal, 5 days of cocaine:  $0.41 \pm 0.05$ ,  $n = 6$ ; 5 days of saline:  $0.44 \pm 0.06$ ,  $n = 7$ ;  $p = 0.646$ ). These results demonstrate that the modulation of synaptic function in DA neurons by administration of cocaine is not uniform but is associated with the brain area to which the DA neuron projects. Long-lasting changes occur in neurons that project to the NAc medial shell while detectable changes do not occur in neurons projecting to PFC and in nigrostriatal cells.

#### Differences in Synaptic Plasticity Induced by an Aversive Experience in DA Neuron Subpopulations

Although in vivo single-unit recordings primarily in nonhuman primates as well as rodents have revealed that many midbrain DA neurons are excited by rewarding stimuli or cues that predict



**Figure 4. An Aversive Stimulus Increases AMPAR/NMDAR Ratio in DA Cells Projecting to the mPFC**

(A–D) Sample AMPAR and NMDAR EPSCs (left panels) and magnitude of AMPAR/NMDAR ratios (right panels) in different DA neuron subpopulations in animals that received hindpaw formalin injections 24 hr prior to slice preparation. Numbers of cells are indicated (\* $p < 0.05$ ). Note that DA cells projecting to the mPFC (A) and NAc lateral shell (C) showed increases in AMPAR/NMDAR ratios but nigrostriatal cells (D) and cells projecting to NAc medial shell (B) did not. The control cells in these panels are the same as those shown in Figure 2B. (E) Summary of some of the basal properties of the DA cell subpopulations and the modulation of their excitatory synapses by rewarding and aversive stimuli. Note that DA neurons projecting to the NAc lateral shell can be found in the anterior and posterior VTA (yellow dots) while DA neurons projecting to the mPFC and NAc medial shell are mainly located in the posterior VTA (red and green dots). Bar graphs in (A)–(D) represent means  $\pm$  SEM.

rewards (Schultz, 2010), subpopulations of putative DA neurons are excited by aversive stimuli (Mirenowicz and Schultz, 1996; Brischoux et al., 2009; Matsumoto and Hikosaka, 2009; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010; Ungless et al., 2010). This raises the possibility that the DA neuron subpopulations that did not exhibit an increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratios in response to cocaine might exhibit such a change in response to an “aversive experience.” To test this hypothesis, we gave animals an injection of formalin in the plantar surface of a hindpaw, a stimulus often used to generate irritation (Dubuisson and Dennis, 1977), and one that increases *c-fos* immunoreactivity in VTA DA neurons (Ma et al., 1993). In contrast to cocaine, this aversive experience caused an increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio in DA neurons projecting to mPFC (Figure 4A, control:  $0.61 \pm 0.04$ ,  $n = 10$ ; aversive:  $0.94 \pm 0.06$ ,  $n = 7$ ;  $p = 0.0003$ ), but not in DA neurons projecting to the NAc medial shell (Figure 4B, control:  $0.60 \pm 0.03$ ,  $n = 8$ ; aversive:  $0.58 \pm 0.06$ ,  $n = 8$ ;  $p = 0.831$ ). It did, however, cause an increase in the neurons projecting to NAc lateral shell (Figure 4C, control:  $0.37 \pm 0.03$ ,  $n = 10$ ; aversive:  $0.48 \pm 0.04$ ,  $n = 9$ ;  $p = 0.035$ ). Finally, AMPAR/NMDAR ratios in nigrostriatal cells were unaffected by this aversive experience (Figure 4D, control:  $0.42 \pm 0.07$ ,  $n = 9$ ; aversive:  $0.34 \pm 0.04$ ,  $n = 7$ ;  $p = 0.372$ ).

In initial experiments, we found that approximately 20% of the neurons projecting to the mPFC in the posterior VTA did not stain for TH (Figure 1C). Because the mesocortical neurons exhibited the most unusual behavior among the subpopulations we studied, an increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio after an aver-

sive stimulus, but not after cocaine, we wanted to confirm that these changes were in fact occurring in DA neurons. We therefore obtained transgenic mice that expressed GFP under control of the TH promoter (Sawamoto et al., 2001), confirmed that the GFP-expressing mesocortical cells stained for TH (Figure S4),

and recorded from GFP-positive cells which were also labeled with Retrobeads that were injected into the mPFC (Figure S5A). Similar to C57BL/6 mice, in the TH-GFP mice, DA neurons projecting to the mPFC exhibited a high basal AMPAR/NMDAR ratio, no increase in this ratio 24 hr after cocaine administration, and a large increase 24 hr after the aversive experience (Figures S5B and S5C, control:  $0.60 \pm 0.06$ ,  $n = 6$ ; cocaine:  $0.48 \pm 0.05$ ,  $n = 4$ ;  $p = 0.2167$ ; aversive:  $1.22 \pm 0.17$ ,  $n = 7$ ;  $p = 0.0076$ ). Ten days after the aversive experience, however, the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio was no longer significantly increased ( $0.65 \pm 0.14$ ,  $n = 4$ ;  $p = 0.699$ ). Thus, the unusual synaptic modulation observed in mesocortical DA neurons in response to rewarding and aversive stimuli was replicated in a second mouse line in which DA neurons could be visually identified.

## DISCUSSION

A major goal of modern neuroscience research is to elucidate how specific modifications in defined neural circuits mediate particular types of experience-dependent behavioral plasticity. Over the last decade, important new approaches have become available to facilitate this effort ranging from genetically modified mice in which transgenes are expressed in specific cell types (Malenka, 2002) to optogenetics (Zhang et al., 2010b). Despite these advances, when cell types are not genetically identifiable based on their specific connectivity, other more traditional approaches remain valuable.

Here, we have defined differences in the experience-dependent modulation of subpopulations of midbrain DA neurons that are categorized based on their projections to different target areas as shown by the presence of retrogradely transported fluorescent beads (Köbber et al., 2000; Lammel et al., 2008). These target areas, which include the mPFC, different subregions of the NAc, and the dorsal striatum, are key components of anatomically and functionally related circuits that are involved in a wide range of adaptive and pathologically motivated behaviors (Wise, 2004; Everitt and Robbins, 2005; Ikemoto, 2007; Everitt et al., 2008; Berridge et al., 2009; Schultz, 2010; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010; Ungless et al., 2010; Wolf, 2010). In particular, because DA cell activity and the consequent release of DA in target structures are associated not only with rewards and reinforcement-dependent learning (Schultz, 2010), but also appear to play an important role in the motivational responses to aversive as well as other salient stimuli (Berridge et al., 2009; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010; Ungless et al., 2010), we wanted to compare the effects of a simple rewarding versus aversive experience on these different DA subpopulations.

The major finding of this study was that excitatory synapses on subpopulations of DA neurons with different axonal projection targets were modified distinctly after a rewarding cocaine experience versus an aversive experience (Figure 4E). Synapses on DA neurons projecting to NAc medial shell were selectively modified by the rewarding stimulus while synapses on DA neurons projecting to mPFC were modified only by the aversive stimulus. In contrast, synapses on DA cells projecting to NAc lateral shell were modified by both rewarding and aversive stimuli, suggesting that this modulation may encode occurrence of a salient stimulus independent of its valence. These findings are consistent with the idea that mesocorticolimbic DA circuitry may comprise multiple parallel circuits that encode distinct aspects of a motivational stimulus, its valence in terms of its rewarding or aversive properties as well as its salience (Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010). Parallel processing and representation of the distinct features of a motivational stimulus in different circuits can be viewed as analogous to the neural circuit mechanisms by which many sensory systems encode complex sensory stimuli. In the context of this hypothesis, an important topic for future research will be to elucidate the mechanisms by which stress and drugs of abuse interact and cross-sensitize, both in terms of their behavioral consequences and the changes they elicit in extracellular dopamine.

The larger and longer lasting increase in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio in DA neurons projecting to NAc medial shell compared to those projecting to NAc lateral shell is consistent with studies reporting that cocaine administration elicits the largest increase in extracellular DA concentration within the NAc medial shell (Stuber et al., 2005; Di Chiara and Bassareo, 2007; Aragona et al., 2008). This conclusion is based on the assumption that increases in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio correlate with a net increase in synaptic strength (Ungless et al., 2001; Kauer and Malenka, 2007) and that this drives increased spiking activity in the DA cell subpopulation in vivo. The long-lasting synaptic changes in the mesolimbic medial shell DA neurons after cocaine administration may also contribute to the delayed yet persistent synaptic adaptations observed at excitatory synapses in the NAc

(Kauer and Malenka, 2007; Conrad et al., 2008; Kalivas, 2009; Chen et al., 2010; Wolf, 2010), changes that are dependent on the initial synaptic adaptations in midbrain DA neurons (Mameli et al., 2009).

The most surprising results were that excitatory synapses on DA neurons projecting to the mPFC did not appear to be modified by cocaine, yet were clearly changed by an aversive experience. It must be acknowledged that a lack of change in the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio does not prove that no changes in excitatory synaptic properties have occurred. However, in all previous ex vivo studies of putative DA neurons, this measure has been found to be increased by drugs of abuse as well as by reward-dependent learning. Thus, it seems unlikely that somehow cocaine administration modified excitatory synapses on mesocortical DA neurons in a manner that did not affect the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio, especially because the aversive experience did increase this ratio in this same neuronal population.

Accepting that the experience-dependent synaptic adaptations we have identified translate into differences in the synaptic drive onto DA cells and therefore in their activity in vivo, there are several implications of our results. They suggest that the DA cells that have been found to be excited by aversive stimuli in vivo (Mirenowicz and Schultz, 1996; Brischoux et al., 2009; Matsumoto and Hikosaka, 2009) may primarily be DA cells that specifically project to the mPFC. Consistent with this possibility are reports that tail-shock stress increased extracellular DA levels in the mPFC to a much greater degree than in dorsal striatum or NAc (Abercrombie et al., 1989), that a noxious tail pinch excites mesocortical but not mesolimbic DA neurons (Mantz et al., 1989), and that aversive taste stimuli rapidly increased DA in the PFC (Bassareo et al., 2002), but not in the NAc medial shell (Bassareo et al., 2002; Roitman et al., 2008). Furthermore, the putative DA cells in rats that were excited by noxious stimuli were located in the ventromedial aspect of the posterior VTA (Brischoux et al., 2009), the same area of the VTA in which we found most mesocortical DA neurons (Figure 1). Our results also suggest that the modulation of circuitry within the brain areas targeted by DA cells will be different for rewarding versus aversive stimuli. This makes sense because the behavioral responses to a rewarding versus an aversive experience will be different (e.g., approach versus avoidance) and therefore will involve different, although perhaps overlapping, neural circuit modifications.

Drug addiction can be conceptualized as the endpoint of a series of behavioral transitions beginning with voluntary drug use, because the drug has reinforcing, often hedonic, effects, and ending with loss of control over behavior such that drug intake becomes habitual and ultimately compulsive (Kalivas and Volkow, 2005; Hyman et al., 2006; Everitt and Robbins, 2005; Everitt et al., 2008). These behavioral transitions may correspond to a transition from limbic and prefrontal cortical control over goal-directed behavior to dorsostriatal control as drug intake becomes compulsive (Haber et al., 2000; Kalivas and Volkow, 2005; Everitt and Robbins, 2005; Everitt et al., 2008; Hyman et al., 2006; Ikemoto, 2007). The immediate (within 1 day) modification of synapses on mesoaccumbens DA neurons by cocaine administration versus the lack of such changes at synapses on nigrostriatal DA neurons

can be viewed as consistent with this proposal and suggests that prolonged exposure to cocaine may be required for changes in nigrostriatal cells to occur. Our results can also be viewed as consistent with a hierarchical organization of drug-evoked plasticity in these circuits (Kalivas and O'Brien, 2008) such that DA neurons projecting to the NAc underlie the initial reinforcing effects of drugs of abuse, whereas DA neurons projecting to the mPFC and dorsolateral striatum are more engaged later during the transition to addiction.

In summary, this study provides evidence in support of the hypothesis that midbrain DA neurons are not homogeneous but instead subserve a variety of functions in support of the control over motivated behaviors (Berridge et al., 2009; Bromberg-Martin et al., 2010; Ungless et al., 2010). They suggest that the long-lasting modulation of individual DA neuron activity by salient stimuli is associated with the specific target brain areas they influence, a conclusion that is not surprising but nevertheless is important because its corollary is that the pathological behaviors involving mesocorticolimbic DA circuitry involve modulation of distinct DA neuron subpopulations. Clearly, the *ex vivo* approach taken here cannot be used to define the behavioral roles of the DA neuron subpopulations. However, the differences we have demonstrated provide motivation to develop molecular tools that will allow precise *in vivo* control over the activity of these subpopulations so that their behavioral functions can be clearly elucidated.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Recordings from retrogradely labeled DA neurons were performed essentially as previously described (Lammel et al., 2008). All experimental procedures are described in detail in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes five figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at [doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.03.025).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse and by a fellowship from the German Academy of Sciences Leopoldina (to S.L.). Retrograde tracing and immunohistochemistry were by S.L. and D.I.I. Electrophysiology was by S.L. The study was designed and results were analyzed and interpreted by S.L., J.R., and R.C.M. The manuscript was written by S.L., J.R., and R.C.M.

Accepted: March 23, 2011

Published: June 8, 2011

## REFERENCES

Abercrombie, E.D., Keefe, K.A., DiFrischia, D.S., and Zigmond, M.J. (1989). Differential effect of stress on *in vivo* dopamine release in striatum, nucleus accumbens, and medial frontal cortex. *J. Neurochem.* *52*, 1655–1658.

Aragona, B.J., Cleaveland, N.A., Stuber, G.D., Day, J.J., Carelli, R.M., and Wightman, R.M. (2008). Preferential enhancement of dopamine transmission within the nucleus accumbens shell by cocaine is attributable to a direct increase in phasic dopamine release events. *J. Neurosci.* *28*, 8821–8831.

Argilli, E., Sibley, D.R., Malenka, R.C., England, P.M., and Bonci, A. (2008). Mechanism and time course of cocaine-induced long-term potentiation in the ventral tegmental area. *J. Neurosci.* *28*, 9092–9100.

Bassareo, V., De Luca, M.A., and Di Chiara, G. (2002). Differential expression of motivational stimulus properties by dopamine in nucleus accumbens shell versus core and prefrontal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* *22*, 4709–4719.

Bellone, C., and Lüscher, C. (2006). Cocaine triggered AMPA receptor redistribution is reversed *in vivo* by mGluR-dependent long-term depression. *Nat. Neurosci.* *9*, 636–641.

Berridge, K.C., Robinson, T.E., and Aldridge, J.W. (2009). Dissecting components of reward: 'Liking', 'wanting', and learning. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* *9*, 65–73.

Borgland, S.L., Malenka, R.C., and Bonci, A. (2004). Acute and chronic cocaine-induced potentiation of synaptic strength in the ventral tegmental area: Electrophysiological and behavioral correlates in individual rats. *J. Neurosci.* *24*, 7482–7490.

Brischoux, F., Chakraborty, S., Brierley, D.I., and Ungless, M.A. (2009). Phasic excitation of dopamine neurons in ventral VTA by noxious stimuli. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *106*, 4894–4899.

Bromberg-Martin, E.S., Matsumoto, M., and Hikosaka, O. (2010). Dopamine in motivational control: Rewarding, aversive, and alerting. *Neuron* *68*, 815–834.

Chen, B.T., Bowers, M.S., Martin, M., Hopf, F.W., Guillory, A.M., Carelli, R.M., Chou, J.K., and Bonci, A. (2008). Cocaine but not natural reward self-administration nor passive cocaine infusion produces persistent LTP in the VTA. *Neuron* *59*, 288–297.

Chen, B.T., Hopf, F.W., and Bonci, A. (2010). Synaptic plasticity in the mesolimbic system: Therapeutic implications for substance abuse. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* *1187*, 129–139.

Conrad, K.L., Tseng, K.Y., Uejima, J.L., Reimers, J.M., Heng, L.J., Shaham, Y., Marinelli, M., and Wolf, M.E. (2008). Formation of accumbens GluR2-lacking AMPA receptors mediates incubation of cocaine craving. *Nature* *454*, 118–121.

D'Ardenne, K., McClure, S.M., Nystrom, L.E., and Cohen, J.D. (2008). BOLD responses reflecting dopaminergic signals in the human ventral tegmental area. *Science* *319*, 1264–1267.

Dayan, P., and Niv, Y. (2008). Reinforcement learning: The good, the bad and the ugly. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* *18*, 185–196.

Di Chiara, G., and Bassareo, V. (2007). Reward system and addiction: What dopamine does and doesn't do. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* *7*, 69–76.

Dong, Y., Saal, D., Thomas, M., Faust, R., Bonci, A., Robinson, T., and Malenka, R.C. (2004). Cocaine-induced potentiation of synaptic strength in dopamine neurons: Behavioral correlates in GluRA(-/-) mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *101*, 14282–14287.

Dubuisson, D., and Dennis, S.G. (1977). The formalin test: A quantitative study of the analgesic effects of morphine, meperidine, and brain stem stimulation in rats and cats. *Pain* *4*, 161–174.

Engblom, D., Bilbao, A., Sanchis-Segura, C., Dahan, L., Perreau-Lenz, S., Bolland, B., Parkitna, J.R., Luján, R., Halbout, B., Mameli, M., et al. (2008). Glutamate receptors on dopamine neurons control the persistence of cocaine seeking. *Neuron* *59*, 497–508.

Everitt, B.J., and Robbins, T.W. (2005). Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction: From actions to habits to compulsion. *Nat. Neurosci.* *8*, 1481–1489.

Everitt, B.J., Belin, D., Economidou, D., Pelloux, Y., Dalley, J.W., and Robbins, T.W. (2008). Review. Neural mechanisms underlying the vulnerability to develop compulsive drug-seeking habits and addiction. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* *363*, 3125–3135.

Faleiro, L.J., Jones, S., and Kauer, J.A. (2004). Rapid synaptic plasticity of glutamatergic synapses on dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area in response to acute amphetamine injection. *Neuropsychopharmacology* *29*, 2115–2125.

- Ford, C.P., Mark, G.P., and Williams, J.T. (2006). Properties and opioid inhibition of mesolimbic dopamine neurons vary according to target location. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 2788–2797.
- Goto, Y., Otani, S., and Grace, A.A. (2007). The Yin and Yang of dopamine release: A new perspective. *Neuropharmacology* 53, 583–587.
- Gutlerner, J.L., Penick, E.C., Snyder, E.M., and Kauer, J.A. (2002). Novel protein kinase A-dependent long-term depression of excitatory synapses. *Neuron* 36, 921–931.
- Haber, S.N., Fudge, J.L., and McFarland, N.R. (2000). Striatonigrostriatal pathways in primates form an ascending spiral from the shell to the dorsolateral striatum. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 2369–2382.
- Heikkinen, A.E., Möykkynen, T.P., and Korpi, E.R. (2009). Long-lasting modulation of glutamatergic transmission in VTA dopamine neurons after a single dose of benzodiazepine agonists. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 290–298.
- Hommel, J.D., Trinko, R., Sears, R.M., Georgescu, D., Liu, Z.W., Gao, X.B., Thurmon, J.J., Marinelli, M., and DiLeone, R.J. (2006). Leptin receptor signaling in midbrain dopamine neurons regulates feeding. *Neuron* 51, 801–810.
- Hyman, S.E., Malenka, R.C., and Nestler, E.J. (2006). Neural mechanisms of addiction: The role of reward-related learning and memory. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 29, 565–598.
- Ikemoto, S. (2007). Dopamine reward circuitry: Two projection systems from the ventral midbrain to the nucleus accumbens-olfactory tubercle complex. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 56, 27–78.
- Isaac, J.T., Ashby, M., and McBain, C.J. (2007). The role of the GluR2 subunit in AMPA receptor function and synaptic plasticity. *Neuron* 54, 859–871.
- Johnson, S.W., and North, R.A. (1992). Two types of neurone in the rat ventral tegmental area and their synaptic inputs. *J. Physiol.* 450, 455–468.
- Kalivas, P.W. (2009). The glutamate homeostasis hypothesis of addiction. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 561–572.
- Kalivas, P.W., and O'Brien, C. (2008). Drug addiction as a pathology of staged neuroplasticity. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33, 166–180.
- Kalivas, P.W., and Volkow, N.D. (2005). The neural basis of addiction: A pathology of motivation and choice. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 162, 1403–1413.
- Kauer, J.A., and Malenka, R.C. (2007). Synaptic plasticity and addiction. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 844–858.
- Köbber, C., Apps, R., Bechmann, I., Lanciego, J.L., Mey, J., and Thanos, S. (2000). Current concepts in neuroanatomical tracing. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 62, 327–351.
- Lammel, S., Hetzel, A., Häckel, O., Jones, I., Liss, B., and Roeper, J. (2008). Unique properties of mesoprefrontal neurons within a dual mesocorticolimbic dopamine system. *Neuron* 57, 760–773.
- Liu, Q.S., Pu, L., and Poo, M.M. (2005). Repeated cocaine exposure in vivo facilitates LTP induction in midbrain dopamine neurons. *Nature* 437, 1027–1031.
- Ma, Q.P., Zhou, Y., and Han, J.S. (1993). Noxious stimulation accelerated the expression of c-fos protooncogene in cholecystokinergic and dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area. *Peptides* 14, 561–566.
- Malenka, R. (2002). NIH workshop report: Taming the brain's complexity. *Neuron* 36, 29–30.
- Mameli, M., Halbout, B., Creton, C., Engblom, D., Parkitna, J.R., Spanagel, R., and Lüscher, C. (2009). Cocaine-evoked synaptic plasticity: Persistence in the VTA triggers adaptations in the NAc. *Nat. Neurosci.* 12, 1036–1041.
- Mantz, J., Thierry, A.M., and Glowinski, J. (1989). Effect of noxious tail pinch on the discharge rate of mesocortical and mesolimbic dopamine neurons: Selective activation of the mesocortical system. *Brain Res.* 476, 377–381.
- Margolis, E.B., Lock, H., Hjelmstad, G.O., and Fields, H.L. (2006). The ventral tegmental area revisited: Is there an electrophysiological marker for dopaminergic neurons? *J. Physiol.* 577, 907–924.
- Margolis, E.B., Mitchell, J.M., Ishikawa, J., Hjelmstad, G.O., and Fields, H.L. (2008). Midbrain dopamine neurons: Projection target determines action potential duration and dopamine D(2) receptor inhibition. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 8908–8913.
- Matsumoto, M., and Hikosaka, O. (2009). Two types of dopamine neuron distinctly convey positive and negative motivational signals. *Nature* 459, 837–841.
- Mirenowicz, J., and Schultz, W. (1996). Preferential activation of midbrain dopamine neurons by appetitive rather than aversive stimuli. *Nature* 379, 449–451.
- Roitman, M.F., Wheeler, R.A., Wightman, R.M., and Carelli, R.M. (2008). Real-time chemical responses in the nucleus accumbens differentiate rewarding and aversive stimuli. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 1376–1377.
- Saal, D., Dong, Y., Bonci, A., and Malenka, R.C. (2003). Drugs of abuse and stress trigger a common synaptic adaptation in dopamine neurons. *Neuron* 37, 577–582.
- Sawamoto, K., Nakao, N., Kobayashi, K., Matsushita, N., Takahashi, H., Kakishita, K., Yamamoto, A., Yoshizaki, T., Terashima, T., Murakami, F., et al. (2001). Visualization, direct isolation, and transplantation of midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 6423–6428.
- Schultz, W. (1998). Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 80, 1–27.
- Schultz, W. (2007). Behavioral dopamine signals. *Trends Neurosci.* 30, 203–210.
- Schultz, W. (2010). Dopamine signals for reward value and risk: Basic and recent data. *Behav. Brain Funct.* 6, 24.
- Stuber, G.D., Wightman, R.M., and Carelli, R.M. (2005). Extinction of cocaine self-administration reveals functionally and temporally distinct dopaminergic signals in the nucleus accumbens. *Neuron* 46, 661–669.
- Stuber, G.D., Klanker, M., de Ridder, B., Bowers, M.S., Joosten, R.N., Feenstra, M.G., and Bonci, A. (2008). Reward-predictive cues enhance excitatory synaptic strength onto midbrain dopamine neurons. *Science* 321, 1690–1692.
- Ungless, M.A., Whistler, J.L., Malenka, R.C., and Bonci, A. (2001). Single cocaine exposure in vivo induces long-term potentiation in dopamine neurons. *Nature* 411, 583–587.
- Ungless, M.A., Argilli, E., and Bonci, A. (2010). Effects of stress and aversion on dopamine neurons: Implications for addiction. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 35, 151–156.
- Wise, R.A. (2004). Dopamine, learning and motivation. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 5, 483–494.
- Wolf, M.E. (2010). The Bermuda Triangle of cocaine-induced neuroadaptations. *Trends Neurosci.* 33, 391–398.
- Young, A.M. (2004). Increased extracellular dopamine in nucleus accumbens in response to unconditioned and conditioned aversive stimuli: Studies using 1 min microdialysis in rats. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 138, 57–63.
- Zhang, T.A., Placzek, A.N., and Dani, J.A. (2010a). In vitro identification and electrophysiological characterization of dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area. *Neuropharmacology* 59, 431–436.
- Zhang, F., Gradinaru, V., Adamantidis, A.R., Durand, R., Airan, R.D., de Lecea, L., and Deisseroth, K. (2010b). Optogenetic interrogation of neural circuits: Technology for probing mammalian brain structures. *Nat. Protoc.* 5, 439–456.
- Zweifel, L.S., Argilli, E., Bonci, A., and Palmiter, R.D. (2008). Role of NMDA receptors in dopamine neurons for plasticity and addictive behaviors. *Neuron* 59, 486–496.